



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Pat nt and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/316,580	05/21/99	LINN J	87552.97R399

THOMAS R FITZGERALD ESQ
JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL LLP
39 STATE STREET
ROCHESTER NY 14614

MM91/0802

EXAMINER

LOKE, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED: 08/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.

09/316,580

Applicant(s)

LINN ET AL.

Examiner

Steven Loke

Art Unit

2811

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 22 May 2001 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

(1) In the amendment filed on 11/2/99, the phrase "and unbroken" is deleted in lines 3 and 4 of claim 1.

(2) In the amendment filed on 11/2/99, the phrase "and unbroken" is deleted in lines 4 and 7 of claim 7.

(3) In the amendment filed on 11/2/99, there are two "a" in line 7 of claim 10.

(4) In the amendment filed on 11/2/99, the word "homogeneous" is deleted in line 1 of claims 14, 15 and 18.

(5) In the amendment filed on 11/2/99, the phrase "said device layer includes a diamond layer adjacent to said homogeneous silicide layer" is changed to "said first dielectric layer is a diamond layer" in claim 17.

Steven Loke
Primary Examiner

